


The applicant agrees and, indeed, incorporated claim 2 into the independent claims precisely because it avoided rejection from the cited Cooper or Blick, et al. patents in the way already recognized in the final Action.

In the final Action, claim 2 was rejected only from Dean and Bagley Sr. references. Therefore, the independent claims now incorporating former claim 2 are rejected only from Dean and Bagley Sr. patents, whereby the Advisory Action's sole reason for rejection is a non sequitur meriting supervisory review.

Respectfully submitted,



---

William R. Evans  
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP  
26 West 61<sup>st</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10023  
Reg. No. 25858  
Tel. No. (212) 708-1930